96696-6

FILED
Court of Appeals
Division III
State of Washington
2/11/2019 3:16 PM
NO. 352625

COURT OF APPEALS DIVISION III STATE OF WASHINGTON

MARIA ESPINDOLA,

Appellant,

v.

APPLE KING, INC.,

Respondent.

APPLE KING'S REPLY MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR DISCRETIONARY REVIEW

(REPLY LIMITED TO ANSWERING ONLY NEW ISSUES RAISED IN THE ANSWER)

Gary E. Lofland, WSBA No. 12150 Sean M. Worley, WSBA No. 46734 MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY 230 S. Second St. Yakima, WA 98902 (509) 575-8500

Counsel for Apple King, Inc.

RAP 13.4(d) provides "if the party [filing an answer to a petition for review] wants to seek review of any issue that is not raised in the petition for review, including any issues raised but not decided in the Court of Appeals, the party must raise those issues in the answer..."

Here counsel urges this court to accept review of the Court of Appeals failure to award attorney fees and costs resulting from the proceedings before the Court of Appeals.

In the decision below the Court of Appeals held:

Ms. Espindola requests attorney fees and costs pursuant to RAP 18.1; 29 U.S.C. § 2617(A)(3); 29 C.F.R. § 825.400(c) and RCW 49.48.030. This request is premature because Ms. Espindola has not yet succeeded on her claim against Apple King, we are not in a position to award attorney fees. If after remanding Ms. Espindola prevails on her FMLA/WFLA claim, she will qualify as a prevailing party and may be awarded attorney fees, including fees generated during this appeal (citations omitted).

The decision of the Court of Appeals to deny Ms. Espindola's request for attorney's fees because it is premature is correct. Each of the statutes and regulations upon which counsel relies allows such an award only if the claimant is the prevailing party. A prevailing party

for purposes of a statute allowing an award of attorney fees is one who receives an affirmative judgment in his or her favor. *Riss v. Angel*, 131 Wn.2d 612, 633 (1997); *Mikes Painting Inc. v. Carter Welsh Inc.*, 95 Wn. App. 64 (Div. III 1999). Ms. Espindola has not yet achieved an affirmative judgment.

Ms. Espindola presents no compelling reason to depart from precedent.

The Court should decline to accept review of that issue.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of February, 2019.

s/Gary E. Lofland, WSBA No. 12150
GARY E. LOFLAND, WSBA #12150
SEAN M. WORLEY, WSBA #46734
Meyer, Fluegge & Tenney, P.S.
Attorneys for Apple King, LLC
P.O. Box 22680
Yakima, WA 98901
(509) 575-8500

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMITTAL

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that I caused a copy of this document to be sent to the attorneys of record listed below as follows:

For Plaintiff:	
Favian Valencia	✓ via U.S. Mail
402 E. Yakima Ave., Ste. 730	via fax
Yakima, WA 98901	via e-mail
	via hand delivery

DATED this _____ day of February, 2019, at Yakima, Washington.

Sandra Lepez, legal assistant
MEYER, FLUEGGE & PENNEY, P.S.

MEYER, FLUEGGE & TENNEY

February 11, 2019 - 3:16 PM

Transmittal Information

Filed with Court: Court of Appeals Division III

Appellate Court Case Number: 35262-5

Appellate Court Case Title: Maria Espindola v. Apple King, a Limited Liability Company

Superior Court Case Number: 16-2-02725-2

The following documents have been uploaded:

• 352625_Answer_Reply_to_Motion_20190211151528D3460669_7506.pdf

This File Contains:

Answer/Reply to Motion - Reply to Response

The Original File Name was Apple King Reply to Petition for Review.pdf

A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

• favian@sunlightlaw.com

• sunlightlawpllc@gmail.com

Comments:

Sender Name: Sandra Lepez - Email: lepez@mftlaw.com

Filing on Behalf of: Gary Edward Lofland - Email: glofland@glofland.net (Alternate Email: lepez@mftlaw.com)

Address:

230 S. 2nd Street Yakima, WA, 98901 Phone: (509) 575-8500

Note: The Filing Id is 20190211151528D3460669